



Patent  
51306/695:1

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:

**Jorge L. Acosta**

**Serial No.:** 10/606,119

**Filed:** June 24, 2003

**For:** DENSE PATTERN OPTICAL  
SCANNER

)  
) **Group Art Unit:** 2876

)  
) **Examiner:** Lee, Seung H.

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Commissioner for Patents  
PO Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The following are Applicant's comments on the Examiner's Statements of Reasons for Allowance included with the Notice of Allowability dated December 22, 2004 (at paragraph 4) and the Statements of Reasons for Allowance included with the Office Action of July 14, 2004 (at paragraph 7). The two Statements are identical and thus will be considered together and collectively referred to as ("The Statement").

The Statement appears to paraphrase certain language from some of the claims, but the Statement refers to aspects or limitations that are not present in most or any of the claims. For

---

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING  
(37 C.F.R. §1.8a)

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.


March 7, 2005

Date of Deposit

PortInd2-4510866.1 0051306-00695

Sandi Lekar

Name of Person Mailing Paper

  
Signature of Person Mailing Paper

example, The Statement indicates “fails to specifically teach or fairly suggest that a beam generator of the scanning apparatus is disposed in the collection lens.” There is no such limitation in Claim 21, although there is a light source there is no “beam generator” limitation and the claim refers to a collection system, but does not specify a collection lens. Further, although Claim 21 refers to a primary scan mirror, it does not include limitations of a “facet wheel.” Indeed, dependent Claim 22 depending on Claim 21 includes the limitation “wherein said primary scan mirror comprises a facet wheel” indicating that the primary scan mirror limitation of Claim 21 is broader than a facet wheel.

There are other aspects of The Statement which do not apply to the claims as allowed. Nonetheless, it is submitted that the claims in their present form are allowable over the items of record.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: March 7, 2005

By: John A. Rafter, Jr.  
John A. Rafter, Jr.  
Reg. No. 31,653

33451  
Stoel Rives LLP  
900 SW Fifth Avenue, Suite 2600  
Portland, OR 97204  
(503) 224-3380